IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

E.S.A. No. 372 of 2003

Date of decision: July 24, 2012

Beanta Kaur and others

.. Appellants

Vs.

Sate Bank of India and others

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.N. Jindal

Present: Mr. Ishwar Lal, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate for respondent No.1.

A.N. Jindal, J

Learned counsel for the appellants states that the sale is defective and illegal, but he has not challenged the sale.

Since the dispute is between the decree holder bank and the heirs of the loanee, now it does not lie in the mouth of the petitioners that it is the sole house and the same could not be attached and she is entitled to stay in the house having rights of maintenance. As the house has already been sold in auction 12 years back and highest bidder has already made the payment. After all there should be an end to the litigation and the public money is bound to be returned.

No grounds to interfere.

Dismissed.

July 24, 2012 **(A.N. Jindal)**
deepak **Judge**